

# The genus *Deschampsia* and the epithet “*alpina*”

Jorge O. Chiapella<sup>1</sup>, Zhi-Qing Xue<sup>2</sup>, Josef Greimler<sup>2</sup>

**1** Instituto de Investigaciones en Biodiversidad y Medioambiente (INIBIOMA-CONICET-Universidad Nacional del Comahue), Quintral 1250, R8400FRF Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina **2** Department of Botany and Biodiversity Research, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Vienna, Rennweg 14, 1030 Vienna, Austria

Corresponding author: Jorge O. Chiapella ([jchiapella@comahue-conicet.gob.ar](mailto:jchiapella@comahue-conicet.gob.ar))

---

Academic editor: Marcin Nobis | Received 4 June 2021 | Accepted 9 August 2021 | Published 3 September 2021

---

**Citation:** Chiapella JO, Xue Z-Q, Greimler J (2021) The genus *Deschampsia* and the epithet “*alpina*”. *PhytoKeys* 181: 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.181.69546>

---

## Abstract

The epithet “*alpina*” has been recurrently used in the genus *Deschampsia* to name plants located in northern regions of Europe, Asia and North America, as a species (*Deschampsia alpina* (L.) Roem. & Schult.), but also in infraspecific categories (*Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *alpina* Tzvel. and *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* Schur.). The morphological and molecular available evidence suggests the existence of a single species, *Deschampsia cespitosa* (L.) P. Beauv., in which individuals belonging to the same morphological gradient have received different names in different taxonomic categories throughout its wide distribution range. An evaluation of the available names indicates that all uses of the epithet “*alpina*” are illegitimate. A new combination is proposed at the infraspecific level as *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *neoalpina* Chiapella, Xue & Greimler.

## Keywords

*Aira alpina*, *Deschampsia*, *Deschampsia alpina*, *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina*, *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *alpina*

## Introduction

*Deschampsia cespitosa* (L.) P. Beauv. has a nearly cosmopolitan distribution, being more common in cold temperate regions of the Northern Hemisphere. This extended geographic distribution shows different morphological variants, which have been

considered in two alternate ways, either as infraspecific taxa of a widely distributed species (Tzvelev 1976; Clarke 1980; Chiapella 2000; Chiapella and Probatova 2003; Chiapella et al. 2011), or as separate, though related taxa. Authors using the latter approach (separate taxa) include Böcher et al. (1968), Scoggan (1978) and Porsild and Cody (1980). Barkworth (2007) used a mixed approach for North America north of Mexico, accepting three subspecies for *Deschampsia cespitosa* but keeping *D. alpina* at the species level.

Taxa delimitation and nomenclatural problems are common in *Deschampsia* of northern regions of North America, Europe and Asia. The present contribution aims at clarifying the status of the taxon appearing alternatively under the names *Deschampsia alpina* (L.) Roem. & Schult., *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *alpina* Tzvel. and *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* Schur.


## Morphology

Morphological quantitative characters in *Deschampsia cespitosa* may vary greatly in short environmental gradients. Plants growing along a 40 m long gradient on tidal soils showed significant differences in plant height and leaf width (Seliskar 1985a, b). Such gradient-like variability at larger scales has been shown in studies on *Deschampsia cespitosa* in Central and Northern Europe (Chiapella 2000) and in North America (Chiapella et al. 2011) (Fig. 1). The environment affects key characters normally used in grass systematics (i.e., plant height, size of panicles, spikelets, glumes and lemmas, length of the awns, etc.).

An additional problem in *Deschampsia* is the development of the sprouting spikelet (glumes, lemmas, paleas), a consequence of the pseudovivipary typical in the taxon named “*alpina*”. These parts elongate beyond the habitual range of *D. cespitosa*, eventually becoming plantlets. Pseudovivipary is an asexual reproductive strategy commonly found in grasses, in which plantlets develop from spikelets and detach from the parental plant after development (Elmqvist and Cox 1996). In *Poa*, pseudovivipary may confer an advantage for growth and dispersal in nutrient-poor habitats (Pierce et al. 2003).

## Cytogenetics

Aiken et al. (2007) provide a summary of available cytogenetical information for *Deschampsia alpina* (L.) Roem. & Schult. (Table 1). Most of the counts, however, were made in the decades of 1930, 1940 and 1950, with the most recent dates from 1980. Pronounced differences could be due to technical limitations, or to poor chromosome separation in counts of some material (Rothera and Davy 1986: 453). While the many counts cover most of the distribution area of *D. alpina*, their differences might also suggest a possible complex history, with hybridization and chromosome doubling (Levy and Feldman 2002) as probable driving forces of the changes.



**Figure 1.** Comparison of selected morphological traits in *Deschampsia cespitosa* s.l. in North America (left panel) and North Central Europe (right panel): plant height **A** panicle length **B** panicle width **C** ligule length **D** lower glume length **E** lemma length **F** awn length **G** taxa codes: *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *septentrionalis* (s); *D. cespitosa* subsp. *orientalis* (o); *D. cespitosa* subsp. *glauca* (g); *D. cespitosa* subsp. *neoalpina* (n); *D. cespitosa* subsp. *cespitosa* (c); *D. cespitosa* ssp. *mackenzieana* (m); *D. cespitosa* ssp. *beringensis* (b); *D. cespitosa* ssp. *littoralis* (l); *D. cespitosa* subsp. *wibeliana* (w); *D. cespitosa* subsp. *parviflora* (p). Redrawn from Chiapella (2000) and Chiapella et al. (2011). All in mm.

The lack of recent cytogenetic studies prevents a comprehensive analysis of the taxon history. However, Aiken et al. (2007) suggest that *Deschampsia alpina* is either an autopolyploid derivative of *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *cespitosa*, or an allopolyploid with one still unknown parent (Elven et al. 2003).

**Table 1.** Synopsis of cytogenetic information for *Deschampsia alpina* (L.) Roem. & Schult. (Source: Aiken et al. 2007).

Count	Distribution	Source
2n = 39, 41, 49.	Spitzbergen, Svalbard Archipelago	Flovik (1938, 1940)
2n = 56.	Northern Europe	Hagerup (1939)
2n = 49.	British Isles	Maude (1939)
2n = 26, 39, 48, 52	Northern Europe	Nygren (1949)
2n = 52.	Greenland	Böcher and Larsen (1950)
2n = 49, 56.	British Isles	Hubbard (1954)
2n = 35–38.	Arctic Russia	Sokolovskaya (1955)
2n = 39, 52.	Iceland	Löve and Löve (1956)
2n = 49, 49 + 2B.	Greenland	Jørgensen et al. (1958)
2n = 26, 38–39.	Arctic Russia	Sokolovskaya and Strelkova (1960)
2n = 39 + 3 – 4ff, 49.	Northern Norway	Engelskjøn and Knaben (1971)
2n = 50.	Bear Island, Svalbard Archipelago	Engelskjøn (1979)
2n = 29 49–52.	Europe, northern Africa	Albers (1980)

**Taxonomic history**

The combination *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *alpina* (L.) Tzvel. has been deemed an illegitimate homonym (Aiken et al. 2007) because of the existence of an earlier *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* Schur. Schur (1859) described this taxon for plants collected during a trip carried out in July-August 1853 to the Carpathian Mountains in Siebenbürgen (present day Romania). The description portrays a high mountain grass growing above the treeline, and was based on the basionym *Aira alpina* L. In the case of Schur’s combination, the Article 11.2 of the Code of Nomenclature (Turland et al. 2018), states that “a name has no priority outside the rank at which it is published” thus limiting the priority of the combination of Schur (1859) to the rank of variety. Tzvelev published his combination at the rank of subspecies. The earliest combination of the epithets “caespitosa” and “alpina” was made as *Aira caespitosa* subsp. *alpina* (L.) Hook. in 1870, however in another genus. Furthermore, Article 11.4 (Turland et al. 2018) rules that for infraspecific taxa, the correct name is the combination of the final epithet of the earliest legitimate name of the taxon at the same rank, with the correct name of the genus or species to which it is assigned. Since the correct genus to which this taxon should be assigned is *Deschampsia*, and on account of the reasons mentioned before, the correct category is infraspecific, therefore the combination *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *alpina* (L.) Tzvelev would have been used.

However, Article 53.3 (Turland et al. 2018) rules specifies that “two infraspecific taxa of the same species at different ranks are homonyms if they are not based on the same type”. In 1869, Ducommun published a textbook on the Swiss flora, including three combinations in *Deschampsia cespitosa* (L.) P. Beauv.: α) *D. cespitosa* (L.) P. B. var. *genuina* G.; β) *D. cespitosa* (L.) P. B. var. *pallida* K.; and γ) *D. cespitosa* (L.) P. B. var. *alpina* G. Explicitly the var. *alpina* was based on *Aira alpina* Roth non L., based on another type. Therefore, since both names, the one by Schur and the one by Gaudin are based on different types, they are homonyms.

## Discussion

The debates on delimitation of taxa -at specific or infraspecific level- have been recurrent during the 1990's (Soreng 1991; Luckow 1995; McDade 1995). While now a more sophisticated approach using genomic data and the coalescent is available (Barrett and Freudenstein 2011; Fujita et al. 2012; Leaché et al. 2014), in most cases there is limited molecular information and a lack of basic data on morphology and geographic distribution. The most commonly used strategy has been to differentiate taxa by some character or a combination of characters (Nixon and Wheeler 1990). In cases dealing with infraspecific variation, the preferred approach is to combine morphological variation with geographic allopatry (McDade 1995), which refers to the seminal concept of Du Rietz (1930) – defining subspecies as subtle morphological variants more or less related to defined geographic regions.

The available information for *Deschampsia cespitosa* comprises a set of morphological data for Central Europe (Chiapella 2000) and morphological and molecular data for North America (Chiapella et al. 2011). The morphological data show a gradient-like variability, with recognizable taxa assigned to sections of the gradient (Fig. 1). The molecular information available from the combined *trnK-rps16* spacer and the ITS region yielded 39 haplotypes, two being more abundant and with no obvious relationships between them or to LGM events (Chiapella et al. 2011: 1375). This combined data set was used for maximum parsimony and Bayesian analyses with PAUP\* version 4b10 (Swofford 2002) and MrBayes 3 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) (for more details, see Chiapella et al. 2011: 1370), and resulted in a single taxon with strong support, *Deschampsia cespitosa*, but with several morphological characters showing a gradient- showing a gradient-like variation corresponding to known infraspecific taxa (Fig. 1).

Since molecular data supports the existence of a single entity, but the morphological data shows variation, the treatment as infraspecific entities seems proper. Consequently, and because all available combinations using the epithet “*alpina*” are illegitimate, a replacement name in reference to Article 41 (Turland et al. 2018) is proposed.

## Nomenclature

***Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *neoalpina* Chiapella, Xue & Greimler.**

≡ *Aira alpina* L. Sp. Pl. 65. 1753.

≡ *Deschampsia alpina* (L.) Roem. & Schult. Syst. Veg. 2: 686. 1817.

≡ *Aira alpina* Lilj., Utkast Sv. Fl. 49. 1792.

≡ *Aira alpina* var. *vivipara* Parn., Grasses Brit.: 242 t. 109. 1845.

≡ *Avena alpina* (L.) Trin., Fund. Agrost.: 157. 1820.

≡ *Aira caespitosa* subsp. *alpina* (L.) Hook. f. Student Fl. Brit. Isl. 3: 437. 1870.

≡ *Aira major* subsp. *alpina* (L.) Syme ex J.E. Sowerby, Engl. Bot. (ed. 3B) 11: 65. 1877.



- ≡ *Deschampsia cespitosa* (L.) P.Beauv. var. *alpina* Vasey in Beal, Grasses N. Amer. 2: 368. 1896.
- ≡ *Deschampsia cespitosa* subsp. *alpina* (L.) Tzvel., in Fed., Fl. Evrop. Chasti SSSR 1: 209. 1974.
- = *Aira alpina* Roth, Tent. Fl. Germ. 2(1): 98. 1789.
- = *Aira laevigata* Sm., Engl. Bot. 30: t. 2102. 1810.
- = *Deschampsia laevigata* (Sm.) Roem. & Schult., Syst. Veg. 2: 687. 1817.
- = *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* Schur, Oesterr. Bot. Z. 9: 326. 1859.
- = *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* Gaudin ex Ducommun, Taschenb. Schweiz. Bot, 861. 1869.
- = *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* (Hoppe) Honda (J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo (1): 139. 1930.
- = *Aira caespitosa* var. *alpina* Hoppe, Flora: 166. 1817–1823.
- = *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* (Hoppe) Honda, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 3, Bot. a3(1): 139. 1930.
- = *Aira caespitosa* var. *alpina* Gaudin, Fl. Helv. 1: 323. 1828.
- = *Deschampsia cespitosa* var. *alpina* Gaudin ex Ducommun, Taschenb. Schweiz. Bot. 861. 1869.
- = *Aira caespitosa* var. *alpina* Heuff. Verhandlungen der Zoologisch-botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien 8: 228. 1858.
- = *Aira vivipara* Steud., Syn. Pl. Glumac. 1: 222. 1854.
- = *Aira alpina* var. *vivipara* (Steud.) Lange, Consp. Fl. Groenland. 3: 163. 1880.

**Type.** Sweden, Torne Lappmark, Mt. Njuolja, 25.07.1950, leg. N.D.Simpson 50133 (BM), neotype selected by Cope in Cafferty et al., Taxon 49: 293. 2000.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Walter Till (University of Vienna) for many useful discussions on the Code of Nomenclature. Joseph C. Kuhl (University of Idaho) read the manuscript, making valuable suggestions. This research was supported by the Austrian Science fund (FWF grant P30208-B-29 to J.G. and J.O.C.) and the China Scholarship Council (CSC) by grant NSCIS 201804910630 to Z-Q.X.

## References

- Aiken SG, Dallwitz MJ, Consaul LL, McJannet CL, Boles RL, Argus GW, Gillett JM, Scott PJ, Elven R, LeBlanc MC, Gillespie LJ, Brysting AK, Solstad H, Harris JG (2007) Flora of the Canadian Arctic Archipelago: Descriptions, Illustrations, Identification, and Information Retrieval. NRC Research Press, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa. <http://nature.ca/aafflora/data> [accessed on 21 September 2020]

- Albers F (1980) Comparative Karyology of the grass sub-tribes Aristaveninae and Airinae (Poaceae – Avenae). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 136(3–4): 137–167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01004624>
- Barkworth ME (2007) *Deschampsia*. In: Barkworth ME, Capels KM, Long S, Anderton LK, Piep MB (Eds) *Flora of North America North of Mexico*, vol. 24. Oxford University Press, New York, USA, 624–633.
- Barrett CF, Freudenstein JV (2011) An integrative approach to delimiting species in a rare but widespread mycoheterotrophic orchid. *Molecular Ecology* 13(13): 2771–2786. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05124.x>
- Böcher TW, Larsen K (1950) Chromosome numbers of some arctic or boreal flowering plants. *Meddelelser om Grønland, udgivne af Kommissionen for Ledelsen af de Geologiske og Geografiske Undersøgelser i Grønland*. Copenhagen 147: 1–32.
- Böcher TW, Holmen K, Jakobsen K (1968) *The flora of Greenland*. P. Haase and Son, Copenhagen, Denmark.
- Chiapella JO (2000) The *Deschampsia cespitosa* complex in central and northern Europe: A morphological analysis. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 134(4): 495–512. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8339.2000.tb00547.x>
- Chiapella JO, Probatova NS (2003) The *Deschampsia cespitosa* complex (Poaceae: Aveneae) with special reference to Russia. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 142(2): 213–228. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8339.2003.00167.x>
- Chiapella JO, DeBoer VL, Amico GC, Kuhl JC (2011) A morphological and molecular study in the *Deschampsia cespitosa* complex (Poaceae; Poaeae; Airinae) in northern North America. *American Journal of Botany* 98(8): 1366–1380. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1000495>
- Clarke GCS (1980) In: Tutin TG, Heywood VH, Burges NA, Moore DM, Valentine DH, Walters SM, Webb DA (Eds) *Flora europaea* 5, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 225–227.
- Du Rietz EG (1930) The fundamental units of biological taxonomy. *Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift* 24: 333–426.
- Ducommun JC (1869) *Taschenbuch für den schweizerischen Botaniker*. Schwendemann, Solothurn. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.9825>
- Elmqvist T, Cox PA (1996) The evolution of vivipary in flowering plants. *Oikos* 77(1): 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3545579>
- Elven R, Murray DF, Yurtsev BA (2003) Checklist of the Panarctic Flora (PAF) Vascular Plants. Draft version, National Centre of Biosystematics, Natural History Museums and Botanic Garden. University of Oslo.
- Engelskjøn T (1979) Chromosome numbers in vascular plants from Norway, including Svalbard. *Opera Botanica* 52: 1–38.
- Engelskjøn T, Knaben G (1971) Chromosome numbers of Scandinavian arctic-alpine plant species. III. *Acta Boreal. American Scientist* 28: 1–30.
- Flovik K (1938) Cytological studies in Arctic grasses. *Hereditas* 24(3): 265–376. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1938.tb02674.x>
- Flovik K (1940) Chromosome numbers and polyploidy within the flora of Spitzbergen. *Hereditas* 26: 1430–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1940.tb03246.x>

- Fujita MK, Leaché AD, Burbrink FT, McGuire JA, Moritz C (2012) Coalescent-based species delimitation in an integrative taxonomy. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 27(9): 480–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.04.012>
- Hagerup O (1939) Studies on the significance of polyploidy. III. *Deschampsia* and *Aira*. *Hereditas* 25(2): 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1939.tb02692.x>
- Hubbard CE (1954) Grasses. A Guide to Their Structure, Identification, Uses and Distribution in the British Isles. Hardmondsworth, Middlesex, Penguin books Ltd., 428 pp.
- Jørgensen CA, Sorensen TJ, Westergaard M (1958) The flowering plants of Greenland. A taxonomical and cytological survey. *Kongelige Danske videnskabernes selskabs skrifter* 9: 1–172.
- Leaché AD, Fujita MK, Minin VN, Bouckaert RR (2014) Species delimitation using genome-wide SNP data. *Systematic Biology* 63(4): 534–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syu018>
- Levy AA, Feldman M (2002) The impact of polyploidy on grass genome evolution. *Plant Physiology* 130(4): 1587–1593. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.015727>
- Löve Á, Löve D (1956) Cytotaxonomical conspectus of the Icelandic flora. *Acta Horti Gothoburgensis* 20: 65–291.
- Luckow M (1995) Species concepts: Assumptions, methods, and applications. *Systematic Botany* 20(4): 589–605. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2419812>
- Maude PF (1939) The Merton catalogue. A list of the chromosome numbers of species of British flowering plants. *The New Phytologist* 38(1): 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1939.tb07082.x>
- McDade LA (1995) Species concepts and problems in practice: Insight from botanical monographs. *Systematic Botany* 20(4): 606–622. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2419813>
- Nixon KC, Wheeler QD (1990) An amplification of the phylogenetic species concept. *Cladistics* 6(3): 211–223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.1990.tb00541.x>
- Nygren A (1949) Studies on vivipary in the genus *Deschampsia*. *Hereditas* 35(1): 27–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-5223.1949.tb02859.x>
- Pierce S, Stirling CM, Baxter R (2003) Pseudoviviparous reproduction of *Poa alpina* var. *vivipara* L.(Poaceae) during long-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Annals of Botany* 91(6): 613–622. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcg067>
- Porsild AE, Cody WJ (1980) Vascular plants of continental Northwest Territories, Canada. National Museum of Canada, Ottawa, Ontario. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.70336>
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 19(12): 1572–1574. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180>
- Rothera SL, Davy AJ (1986) Polyploidy and habitat differentiation in *Deschampsia cespitosa*. *The New Phytologist* 102(3): 449–467. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1986.tb00822.x>
- Schur F (1859) Beobachtungen in der Flora von Siebenbürgen. *Österreichische. Botanischeskii Zhurnal* 9: 323–333. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01962295>
- Scoggan HJ (1978) The flora of Canada. Publications in Botany 7(2). National Museum of Natural Sciences, Ottawa, Ontario. <https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.122890>



- Seliskar DM (1985a) Effect of reciprocal transplanting between extremes of plant zones on morphometric plasticity of five plant species in an Oregon salt marsh. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 63(12): 2254–2262. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b85-320>
- Seliskar DM (1985b) Morphometric variations of five tidal marsh halophytes along environmental gradients. *American Journal of Botany* 72(9): 1340–1352. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1537-2197.1985.tb08391.x>
- Sokolovskaya AP (1955) The size of pollen grains and the number of chromosomes in some arctic cereal species. *Botanicheskii Zhurnal* (Moscow & Leningrad). Bot. 40: 850–853. [In Russian]
- Sokolovskaya AP, Strelkova OS (1960) Geographical distribution of the polyploid species of plants in the Euroasiatic arctic. *Botanicheskii Zhurnal* (Moscow & Leningrad) 45: 370–381. [In Russian]
- Soreng RJ (1991) Systematics of the “Epiles” group of *Poa* (Poaceae). *Systematic Botany* 16(3): 507–528. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2419340>
- Swofford DL (2002) PAUP\*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (\*and other methods), version 4.0b10. Sinauer, Sunderland.
- Turland NJ, Wiersema JH, Barrie FR, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Kusber W-H, Li D-Z, Marhold K, May TW, McNeill J, Monro AM, Prado J, Price MJ, Smith GF (2018) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Shenzhen Code) adopted by the Nineteenth International Botanical Congress Shenzhen, China, July 2017. *Regnum Vegetabile* 159. Glashütten: Koeltz Botanical Books. <https://doi.org/10.12705/Code.2018>
- Tzvelev NN (1976) Grasses of the Soviet Union. Nauka Publishing House, Leningrad.